

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 MICHAEL SALISBURY,

No. C-06-2993 MMC

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO AMEND**

12 v.

(Docket No. 34)

13 DETECTIVE MICHAEL WARD, et al.,

14 Defendants.
15 _____/


16
17 Before the Court is plaintiff's motion, filed September 29, 2006, for leave to file an
18 amended complaint. On October 10, 2006, the Court issued an order directing plaintiff to
19 "immediately" submit chambers copies of the motion, in accordance with General Order 45
20 and the Court's standing orders. Plaintiff has not done so. In its October 10, 2006 order,
21 the Court expressly warned plaintiff that if he failed to comply with the Court's order to
22 provide chambers copies of electronically-filed documents, the Court would consider
23 imposing "sanctions, including, but not limited to, striking from the record any electronically-
24 filed document of which a chambers copy has not been timely provided to the Court."
25 Additionally, the motion fails to comply with the Civil Local Rules because it does not
26 include a memorandum of points and authorities. See Civil L.R. 7-4.

27 Accordingly, the motion for leave to amend is hereby STRICKEN from the record
28 without prejudice to plaintiff's refiling a motion to amend in compliance with General
Order 45, the Court's standing orders, and the Civil Local Rules of this district.

1 This order terminates Docket No. 34.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: October 16, 2006

4 
5 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28